Case 2:24-cv-00928-CKD	Document 12	Filed 09/27/24	Page 1 of 2

4

1

2

3

5 6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

20

19

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOSEPH ANTHONY CASTRO, No. 2:24-cv-0928 CKD P

Plaintiff.

PATRICK COVELLO, et al.,

v.

Defendants.

ORDER

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and seeking relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On April 5, 2024, the court screened plaintiff's complaint as the court is required to do under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). Plaintiff's complaint was dismissed with leave to amend. Plaintiff has now filed an amended complaint.

The court is required to screen complaints brought by prisoners seeking relief against a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). The court must dismiss a complaint or portion thereof if the prisoner has raised claims that are legally "frivolous or malicious," that fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or that seek monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1),(2).

Plaintiff complains about instances in which his mail was opened outside of his presence by prison officials. According to plaintiff the mail was sent by a governmental agency and concerned plaintiff's children. However, plaintiff fails to point to anything suggesting this

Case 2:24-cv-00928-CKD Document 12 Filed 09/27/24 Page 2 of 2

amounts to a denial of a federal right. While plaintiff generally has a right to not have mail from an attorney representing him to be opened outside of his presence, <u>Hayes v. Idaho Correctional Center</u>, 849 F.3d 1204, 1210 (9th Cir.2017), there is no such right simply because the mail received was from the government. <u>See id.</u> at 1211 (mail from courts as opposed to mail from a prisoner's attorney can be opened outside of the prisoner's presence).

For these reasons, plaintiff's amended complaint must be dismissed. The court will grant plaintiff one more opportunity to state a claim arising under federal law. Plaintiff is reminded that the court cannot refer to a prior pleading in order to make plaintiff's second amended complaint complete. Local Rule 220 requires that an amended complaint be complete in itself without reference to any prior pleading.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's amended complaint is dismissed.
- 2. Plaintiff is granted thirty days from the date of service of this order to file a second amended complaint that complies with the requirements of the Civil Rights Act, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Local Rules of Practice. The second amended complaint must bear the docket number assigned this case and must be labeled "Second Amended Complaint." Failure to file a second amended complaint in accordance with this order will result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed.

Dated: September 27, 2024

 $\frac{}{\text{CAROLYN K DELAN}}$

21 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

cast0928.14(2)